

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

IN RE: Yasmin and YAZ(Drospirenone))	3:09-md-02100-DRH-SCW
Marketing, Sales Practices and Products)	
Liability Litigation)	MDL No. 2100

This Document Relates to:

ALL CASES

MINUTES OF STATUS CONFERENCE

PRESIDING: DAVID R. HERNDON, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

DATE: April 8, 2016 **COURT REPORTER:** Laura Esposito

PLACE: East St. Louis, Illinois **COURTROOM DEPUTY:** Debbie DeRousse

APPEARING FOR PLAINTIFFS: Mark R. Neimeyer, Michael London, Roger Denton,

APPEARING FOR DEFENDANTS: Jonathan Galvin, Kaspar Stoffelmayr, Michael Suffern,

SPECIAL MASTER: Randi Ellis

TIME: 10:00 AM – 10:20 AM

Court met with lead and liaison counsel prior to the hearing today.

The parties provide a report on the ATE Master Settlement Agreement. The program is moving forward. The Court will grant the pending unopposed motion to establish a QSF.

The parties provide a report regarding resolution of the remaining VTE cases. The parties continue to make good progress on these cases.

The parties continue to negotiate a proposed CMO regarding unsettled cases. One issue remains unresolved. The parties will submit letter briefs within a week regarding the unresolved issue.

The PSC suggests MDL activities should be winding down soon. The Court notes there are some issues with regard to certain Gianvi cases. The parties provide a summary regarding remaining issues in Gianvi cases. The defendants have reached an accommodation regarding indemnification issues as to a certain group of Gianvi cases. Those cases will be moving forward. There are a handful of cases that involve a dispute over whether the subject Gianvi was authorized generic Gianvi or true generic Gianvi. The defendants contend, as to these cases, it is metaphysically impossible for the subject drug to have been authorized generic

Gianvi, despite the NDC code reported by the dispensing pharmacy. The Court states the parties will try to resolve their disagreement as to these cases.

The Gallbladder Resolution Program is proceeding. There are a handful of law firms that have opted to handle lien resolution privately. The Court intends to issue a show cause orders directing these firms to show cause why they have not resolved the liens by a date certain.

The next status conference will be scheduled as needed.