

defendant's mother has recently moved into and is living in St. Louis, her ties to the area are relatively new and, therefore, neither long-term nor stable for the defendant. In addition, the defendant has been unemployed for the past two years, and his most recent employment was in San Francisco. He has a prior history involving drug offenses, guns and theft. His offer from In Style Clothing to work as a retail sales associate is notable, but this would be a new, un-tested position, which does not offer sufficient security to warrant release pending trial.

The Court therefore concludes, given his personal history and characteristics, including his weak ties to this area, his past drug offense history, his long-term lack of employment and the nature and character of the charges now, including that the instant offense was committed while he was on supervised release, that consistent with the Magistrate Judge's findings and conclusions, no condition or combination of conditions imposed on the defendant were he to be released would overcome the high risk that he would flee or pose a danger to the safety of the community.

The Court **FINDS** that the defendant's continued pretrial detention is necessary and appropriate under the factual circumstances presented to us as well as the applicable legal principles. His request for release from custody pending trial therefore must be **DENIED** (Doc. 34) and the defendant shall remain in custody pending disposition in this matter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: 28 June, 2013

/s/ WILLIAM D. STIEHL
DISTRICT JUDGE