IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

IN RE: CASE ASSIGNMENTS) Administrative Order No. 341

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER

To ensure the Court's docket is handled efficiently and expeditiously as the pandemic recedes and as part of the district's ongoing effort to equalize the distribution of cases, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 137, effective February 14, 2023, the work of the Court shall be distributed as follows.

Pursuant to Administrative Order No. 257, the Clerk of Court shall immediately enter a Notice and Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge Form in the 11 cases identified below in List 1 seeking judicial review of a decision of the Commissioner of Social Security under Title 42, United States Code, Section 405(g). Within **21 days**, all parties are required to file the completed form indicating their ***consent*** or ***non-consent*** to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge conducting all proceedings, including entry of final judgment in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

Additionally, the Clerk of Court shall reassign the seven cases identified in List 2 to a magistrate judge. All deadlines and schedules previously set shall remain in effect unless and until reset by the transferee judge.

Magistrate judges also will be assigned to newly filed non-prisoner civil cases and social security cases in a manner consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 636. Upon entry into a case, each party will be sent a Notice and Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction form regarding consenting to the jurisdiction of one of the district's three magistrate judges. Each party will be given **21 days** to file the completed form indicating ***consent*** or ***non-consent*** to the jurisdiction of

the assigned magistrate judge conducting any and all proceedings, including trial and entry of final judgment in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). Upon the consent of all parties in a case, the case and jurisdiction will remain with the magistrate judge currently assigned to the case. If all parties do not consent to the magistrate judge's jurisdiction, the case will be randomly assigned to a district judge for all further proceedings. At the district judge's discretion, a motion or matter may be specifically referred to the previously assigned magistrate judge or a special master in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)-(3) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53. Subsequent consents by all parties to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge will not be accepted.

Based on the above, Administrative Orders 280 and 293 are **RESCINDED.**

The following administrative orders regarding case assignments remain in effect:

- Amended Administrative Order No. 257 regarding the inclusion of magistrate judges in the preliminary civil case distribution for purposes of soliciting consents under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c);
- Administrative Order No. 289 regarding Paraquat products cases;
- Administrative Order No. 311 regarding asbestos cases;
- Administrative Order No. 330 regarding cases against Honeywell Industries;
- Administrative Order No. 321 regarding case assignments;
- Administrative Order No. 332 regarding case assignments;
- Administrative Order No. 339 regarding case assignments.

This administrative order modifies in part Administrative Order No. 277 regarding case assignments.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 14, 2023



NANCY J. ROSENSTENGEL
Chief U.S. District Judge

List 1

3:22-cv-02233-SMY Braun v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:22-cv-02430-DWD Pribble v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:22-cv-02521-SMY Chester v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:22-cv-02652-SMY Baker v. Commissioner of Social Security et al
3:22-cv-02670-SPM London v. Acting Commissioner of Social Security
3:23-cv-00064-SMY Leslie v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:23-cv-00122-SMY O'Brian v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:23-cv-00160-NJR Viviano v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:23-cv-00165-DWD Santagato v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:23-cv-00366-NJR Kerby v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:23-cv-00383-SPM Spiller v. Commissioner of Social Security

List 2

3:22-cv-02116-SMY Dasenbrock v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:22-cv-02431-NJR Hunt v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:22-cv-02463-NJR Daley v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:22-cv-02934-NJR Stephens v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:22-cv-02948-SPM Burchett v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:22-cv-03042-DWD Proctor v. Commissioner of Social Security
3:22-cv-03118-DWD Sheard v. Commissioner of Social Security