

cases (Nature of Suit Codes 625 and 690), (4) bankruptcy appeals (Nature of Suit Codes 422 and 423), and (5) foreclosure cases (Nature of Suit Code 220).

Newly filed non-prisoner *pro se* civil cases, habeas cases (Nature of Suit Codes 463, 510, 530 and 535), and prisoner cases (Nature of Suit Codes 540, 550, 555, and 560) shall also be assigned equally and randomly to all district judges with one exception. Senior U.S. District Judge J. Phil Gilbert will be assigned all newly filed prisoner cases brought under Nature of Suit Codes 540, 550, 555, and 560 and naming employees of the Bureau of Prisons or any county jail and/or those institutions as defendant(s). Upon entry into a case, each party in a newly filed non-prisoner *pro se* civil case, habeas case, or prisoner case will be sent a Notice and Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction form regarding consenting to the jurisdiction of one of the district's three magistrate judges. The Clerk's Office shall not send a Notice and Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction form in prisoner cases brought under Nature of Suit Codes 540, 550, 555, and 560 and naming employees of and/or the Bureau of Prisons as defendant(s). Each party will be given **21 days** to file the completed form indicating *consent* or *non-consent* to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge conducting all proceedings, including trial and entry of final judgment in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). Upon the consent of all parties in a case, and *only* if a non-prisoner *pro se* civil case or habeas corpus case survives threshold review, the case will be randomly and equally assigned to a magistrate judge for all further proceedings consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). If all parties do not consent to the magistrate judge's jurisdiction, the case will remain with the presiding district judge. At the district judge's discretion, a

motion or matter may be specifically referred to a magistrate judge or a special master in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)-(3) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53. Subsequent consents by all parties to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge will not be accepted.

Newly filed Social Security cases (Nature of Suit Codes 861 through 865) will be assigned to all active district and magistrate judges (consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 636) randomly and in an equal manner, except for Senior Judge Gilbert, as discussed more below. If originally assigned to a magistrate judge, upon entry into a case, each party will be sent a Notice and Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction form regarding consenting to the jurisdiction of one of the district's three magistrate judges. Each party will be given **21 days** to file the completed form indicating *consent* or *non-consent* to the jurisdiction of the assigned magistrate judge conducting all proceedings, including trial and entry of final judgment in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). Upon the consent of all parties in a case, the case and jurisdiction will remain with the magistrate judge assigned to the case. If all parties do not consent to the magistrate judge's jurisdiction, the case will be randomly assigned to a district judge for all further proceedings. At the district judge's discretion, a motion or matter may be specifically referred to the previously assigned magistrate judge or a special master in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)-(3) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53. Subsequent consents by all parties to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge will not be accepted.

Judge-Specific Assignments

Senior District Judge J. Phil Gilbert will not be assigned to newly filed Employee Retirement Income Security Act cases (Nature of Suit Code 791), Products Liability cases (Nature of Suit Codes 195, 245, 315, 345, 355, 365, 367, 368, and 385), Federal Tort Claim Act cases pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1346, Social Security matters (Nature of Suit Codes 861 through 865), or class actions until further order of the Court.

For judicial economy and efficiency reasons, the following judges shall preside over certain cases:

- The undersigned shall continue to preside over all cases involving the synthetic chemical compound Paraquat Dichloride (MDL No. 3004).
- District Judge Staci M. Yandle shall continue to preside over all cases filed against Honeywell International, Inc., alleging personal injury and/or property damage from hazardous gas emitting from a plant in Metropolis, Illinois.
- District Judge Staci M. Yandle shall continue to preside over all asbestos cases.
- District Judge Stephen P. McGlynn shall continue to preside over all newly filed civil cases challenging the legality and/or constitutionality of Illinois statute 720 Ill. Comp. Stat. 5/24-1.9, criminalizing the manufacture, possession, delivery, sale, or purchase of certain firearms.

Any civil case currently assigned to a former or retired magistrate judge shall be reassigned among the district's three magistrate judges equally and randomly. Any criminal case currently assigned to a former or retired magistrate judge shall be reassigned to the duty magistrate judge. Any cases assigned to retired District Judge David R. Herndon shall be reassigned to District Judge David W. Dugan; any cases

assigned to retired District Judge Michael J. Reagan shall be reassigned to District Judge Stephen P. McGlynn.¹ All other cases assigned to former or retired district judges shall be reassigned among the district judges equally and randomly.

This Administrative Order **SUPERSEDES** and **VACATES** Administrative Order 386.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 16, 2025



NANCY J. ROSENSTENGEL
Chief U.S. District Judge

¹ This paragraph was amended by Administrative Order 404 to reflect what has been the practice in the district since October 2020.